

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/646,980	Applicant(s) CHU ET AL.	
	Examiner James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	Art Unit 1616	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Henry D. Coleman, Esq.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 20 October 2006
Time: ~ 2:00 pm EST
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

20 and 30

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Attorney Coleman to discuss proposed Examiner's amendments to put the instant application in condition for allowance (see Examiner's amendment). Mr. Coleman granted permission for the proposed claim amendments.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/646,980	CHU ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	James H. Alstrum-Acevedo	1616	

All Participants:
Status of Application: Allowed

 (1) James H. Alstrum-Acevedo.

(3) _____.

 (2) Mr. Henry D. Coleman, Esq.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 25 October 2006
Time: 10:20 am
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

 Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

20, 28, 30, 38

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The Examiner called Attorney Coleman to discuss proposed Examiner's amendments (12)-(17) to put the instant application in condition for allowance (see Examiner's amendment). Mr. Coleman granted permission for the proposed claim amendments.

Part III.

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)